

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,761	06/30/2003	Gregory M. Chrysler	884.916US1 5889	
7590 07/29/2004			EXAMINER	
Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A.			CHERVINSKY, BORIS LEO	
P.O. Box 2938 Minneapolis, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2835	
		DATE MAILED: 07/29/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>^</b>
MM
7.0

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Cumment	10/612,761	CHRYSLER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Boris L. Chervinsky	2835			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address ':			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		en e			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ju	<u>ne 2003</u> .	·			
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
		. :			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.	un from appoideration				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 June 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)			
a) Allb) Some * c)None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ty documents have been receive	d in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	<u> </u>	-,4			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				
Patent and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 10/612,761

Art Unit: 2835

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-26 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,636,423. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims of the patent and claims of the instant application claim two portions of the fin made of two different materials being thermally/mechanically connected to each other; the patent specifies the crimping as the method of connection of two portions and the application claims that connection of the two portions of the fin is done by a butt or a lap joint. The butt and lap joints are well known and common methods of joining two metal pieces using processes such as brazing, spot welding or friction stir welding which are also well known in the industry, therefore it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2835

having ordinary skill in the art to use one of these methods to couple two portions of the fin as claimed in the patent and in the instant application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris L. Chervinsky whose telephone number is 571-272-2039. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren E. Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 35. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BORIS CHÉRVINSKY / S le lun, mes

1/26/4